

# **Pipeline and Rasterization**


COMP575/COMP770

Spring 2016

# The graphics pipeline

- The standard approach to object-order graphics
- Many versions exist
  - software, e.g. Pixar's REYES architecture
    - many options for quality and flexibility
  - hardware, e.g. graphics cards in PCs
    - amazing performance: millions of triangles per frame
- We'll focus on an abstract version of hardware pipeline
- "Pipeline" because of the many stages
  - very parallelizable
  - leads to remarkable performance of graphics cards (many times the flops of the CPU at  $\sim 1/5$  the clock

# Pipeline overview



# Primitives


- Points
- Line segments
  - and chains of connected line segments
- Triangles
- And that's all!
  - Curves? Approximate them with chains of line segments
  - Polygons? Break them up into triangles
  - Curved regions? Approximate them with triangles
- Trend has been toward minimal primitives
  - simple, uniform, repetitive: good for parallelism

# Rasterization

- First job: enumerate the pixels covered by a primitive
  - simple, aliased definition: pixels whose centers fall inside
- Second job: interpolate values across the primitive
  - e.g. colors computed at vertices
  - e.g. normals at vertices
  - will see applications later on


# Rasterizing lines

- Define line as a rectangle
- Specify by two endpoints
- Ideal image: black inside, white outside




# Point sampling

- Approximate rectangle by drawing all pixels whose centers fall within the line
- Problem: sometimes turns on adjacent pixels




# Point sampling in action






# Bresenham lines (midpoint alg.)

- Point sampling unit width rectangle leads to uneven line width
- Define line width parallel to pixel grid
- That is, turn on the single nearest pixel in each column
- Note that  $45^\circ$  lines




# Midpoint algorithm in action




# Algorithms for drawing lines

- line equation:  
 $y = b + m x$
- Simple algorithm:  
evaluate line equation per column
- W.l.o.g.  $x_0 < x_1$ ;  
 $0 \leq m \leq 1$   
for  $x = \text{ceil}(x_0)$  to  $\text{floor}(x_1)$   
 $y = b + m * x$   
output( $x, \text{round}(y)$ )




# Optimizing line drawing

- Multiplying and rounding is slow
- At each pixel the only options are E and NE
- $d = m(x + 1) + b - y$
- $d > 0.5$  decides between E and NE




# Optimizing line drawing

- $d = m(x + 1) + b - y$
- Only need to update  $d$  for integer steps in  $x$  and  $y$
- Do that with addition
- Known as “DDA” (digital differential analyzer)



# Midpoint line algorithm

```
x = ceil(x0)
y = round(m*x + b)
d = m*(x + 1) + b - y
while x < floor(x1)
  if d > 0.5
    y += 1
    d -= 1
  x += 1
  d += m
  output(x, y)
```




# Linear interpolation

- We often attach attributes to vertices
  - e.g. computed diffuse color of a hair being drawn using lines
  - want color to vary smoothly along a chain of line segments
- Recall basic definition
  - 1D:  $f(x) = (1 - \alpha) y_0 + \alpha y_1$
  - where  $\alpha = (x - x_0) / (x_1 - x_0)$
- In the 2D case of a line segment, alpha is just the fraction of the distance from  $(x_0, y_0)$  to  $(x_1, y_1)$

# Linear interpolation

- Pixels are not exactly on the line
- Define 2D function by projection on line
  - this is linear in 2D
  - therefore can use DDA to interpolate






# Alternate interpretation

- We are updating  $d$  and  $\alpha$  as we step from pixel to pixel
  - $d$  tells us how far from the line we are
  - $\alpha$  tells us how far along the line we are
- So  $d$  and  $\alpha$  are coordinates in a coordinate system oriented to the line


# Alternate interpretation

- View loop as visiting all pixels the line passes through
  - Interpolate  $d$  and  $\alpha$  for each pixel
  - Only output frag. if pixel is in band
- This makes linear interpolation the primary operation



# Pixel-walk line rasterization

```
x = ceil(x0)
y = round(m*x + b)
d = m*x + b - y
while x < floor(x1)
  if d > 0.5
    y += 1; d -= 1;
  else
    x += 1; d += m;
  if  $-0.5 < d \leq 0.5$ 
    output(x, y)
```



# Rasterizing triangles

- The most common case in most applications
  - with good antialiasing can be the only case
  - some systems render a line as two skinny triangles
- Triangle represented by three vertices
- Simple way to think of algorithm follows the pixel-walk interpretation of line rasterization
  - walk from pixel to pixel over (at least) the polygon's area
  - evaluate linear functions as you go
  - use those functions to decide which pixels are inside


# Rasterizing triangles

- Input:
  - three 2D points (the triangle's vertices in pixel space)
    - $(x_0, y_0); (x_1, y_1); (x_2, y_2)$
  - parameter values at each vertex
    - $q_{00}, \dots, q_{0n}; q_{10}, \dots, q_{1n}; q_{20}, \dots, q_{2n}$
- Output: a list of fragments, each with
  - the integer pixel coordinates  $(x, y)$
  - interpolated parameter values  $q_0, \dots, q_n$

# Rasterizing triangles

- Summary

- 1 evaluation of linear functions on pixel grid
- 2 functions defined by parameter values at vertices
- 3 using extra parameters to determine fragment set



# Incremental linear evaluation


- A linear (affine, really) function on the plane is:

$$q(x, y) = c_x x + c_y y + c_k$$

- Linear functions are efficient to evaluate on a grid:


$$q(x + 1, y) = c_x(x + 1) + c_y y + c_k = q(x, y) + c_x$$

$$q(x, y + 1) = c_x x + c_y(y + 1) + c_k = q(x, y) + c_y$$



# Incremental linear evaluation

```
linEval(xl, xh, yl, yh, cx, cy, ck) {  
  
    // setup  
    qRow = cx*xl + cy*yl + ck;  
  
    // traversal  
    for y = yl to yh {  
        qPix = qRow;  
        for x = xl to xh {  
            output(x, y, qPix);  
            qPix += cx;  
        }  
        qRow += cy;  
    }  
}
```




$c_x = .005; c_y = .005; c_k = 0$   
(image size 100x100)



# Rasterizing triangles

- Summary

- 1 evaluation of linear functions on pixel grid
- 2 functions defined by parameter values at vertices
- 3 using extra parameters to determine fragment set



# Defining parameter functions

- To interpolate parameters across a triangle we need to find the  $c_x$ ,  $c_y$ , and  $c_k$  that define the (unique) linear function that matches the given values at all 3 vertices

– this leads to 3 unknown coefficients that the function agrees with the given value at one vertex)

$$\begin{aligned} c_x x_0 + c_y y_0 + c_k &= q_0 \\ c_x x_1 + c_y y_1 + c_k &= q_1 \\ c_x x_2 + c_y y_2 + c_k &= q_2 \end{aligned}$$

– leads to the following equation for the coefficients: (singular iff triangle is degenerate)

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_0 & y_0 & 1 \\ x_1 & y_1 & 1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_x \\ c_y \\ c_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ q_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Defining parameter functions

- More efficient version: shift origin to  $(x_0, y_0)$

$$q(x, y) = c_x(x - x_0) + c_y(y - y_0) + q_0$$

$$q(x_1, y_1) = c_x(x_1 - x_0) + c_y(y_1 - y_0) + q_0 = q_1$$

$$q(x_2, y_2) = c_x(x_2 - x_0) + c_y(y_2 - y_0) + q_0 = q_2$$

- now this is a 2x2 linear system (since  $q_0$  falls out):

$$\begin{bmatrix} (x_1 - x_0) & (y_1 - y_0) \\ (x_2 - x_0) & (y_2 - y_0) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_x \\ c_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 - q_0 \\ q_2 - q_0 \end{bmatrix}$$


- solve using Cramer's rule (see Shirley):

$$c_x = (\Delta q_1 \Delta y_2 - \Delta q_2 \Delta y_1) / (\Delta x_1 \Delta y_2 - \Delta x_2 \Delta y_1)$$

$$c_y = (\Delta q_2 \Delta x_1 - \Delta q_1 \Delta x_2) / (\Delta x_1 \Delta y_2 - \Delta x_2 \Delta y_1)$$


# Defining parameter functions

```
linInterp(xl, xh, yl, yh, x0, y0, q0,  
          x1, y1, q1, x2, y2, q2) {  
  
    // setup  
    det = (x1-x0)*(y2-y0) - (x2-x0)*(y1-y0);  
    cx = ((q1-q0)*(y2-y0) - (q2-q0)*(y1-y0)) / det;  
    cy = ((q2-q0)*(x1-x0) - (q1-q0)*(x2-x0)) / det;  
    qRow = cx*(xl-x0) + cy*(yl-y0) + q0;  
  
    // traversal (same as before)  
    for y = yl to yh {  
        qPix = qRow;  
        for x = xl to xh {  
            output(x, y, qPix);  
            qPix += cx;  
        }  
        qRow += cy;  
    }  
}
```



# Interpolating several parameters


```
linInterp(xl, xh, yl, yh, n, x0, y0, q0[],  
          x1, y1, q1[], x2, y2, q2[]) {  
  
    // setup  
    for k = 0 to n-1  
        // compute cx[k], cy[k], qRow[k]  
        // from q0[k], q1[k], q2[k]  
  
    // traversal  
    for y = yl to yh {  
        for k = 1 to n, qPix[k] = qRow[k];  
        for x = xl to xh {  
            output(x, y, qPix);  
            for k = 1 to n, qPix[k] += cx[k];  
        }  
        for k = 1 to n, qRow[k] += cy[k];  
    }  
}
```



# Rasterizing triangles


- Summary

- 1 evaluation of linear functions on pixel grid
- 2 functions defined by parameter values at vertices
- 3 using extra parameters to determine fragment set




# Clipping to the triangle

- Interpolate three *barycentric coordinates* across the plane
  - each barycentric coord is 1 at one vert. and 0 at the other two
- Output fragments only when all three are  $> 0$ .



# Barycentric coordinates

- A coordinate system for triangles
  - algebraic viewpoint:
$$\mathbf{p} = \alpha \mathbf{a} + \beta \mathbf{b} + \gamma \mathbf{c}$$
$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$$
  - geometric viewpoint (areas)
- Triangle interior test:
$$\alpha > 0; \quad \beta > 0; \quad \gamma > 0$$





[Shirley 2000]



# Barycentric coordinates

- A coordinate system for triangles
  - geometric viewpoint: distances




- linear viewpoint: basis of edges

$$\alpha = 1 - \beta - \gamma$$

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{a} + \beta(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) + \gamma(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a})$$

# Barycentric coordinates

- Linear viewpoint: basis for the plane



- in this view, the triangle interior test is just  
 $\beta > 0; \quad \gamma > 0; \quad \beta + \gamma < 1$


# Edge equations

- In plane, triangle is the intersection of 3 half spaces

$$(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}) \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a})^\perp > 0$$

$$(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) \cdot (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{b})^\perp > 0$$

$$(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}) \cdot (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{c})^\perp > 0$$




# Walking edge equations

- We need to update values of the three edge equations with single-pixel steps in  $x$  and  $y$
- Edge equation already in form of dot product
- components of vector are the increments


# Pixel-walk (Pineda) rasterization

- Conservatively visit a superset of the pixels you want
- Interpolate linear functions
- Use those functions to determine when to emit a fragment



# Rasterizing triangles

- Exercise caution with rounding and arbitrary decisions
  - need to visit these pixels once
  - but it's important not to visit them twice!



# Clipping

- Rasterizer tends to assume triangles are on screen
  - particularly problematic to have triangles crossing the plane  $z = 0$
- After projection, before perspective divide
  - clip against the planes  $x, y, z = 1, -1$  (6 planes)
  - primitive operation: clip triangle against axis-aligned plane

# Clipping a triangle against a plane

- 4 cases, based on sidedness of vertices
  - all in (keep)
  - all out (discard)
  - one in, two out (one clipped triangle)
  - two in, one out (two clipped triangles)

