FastV: From-point Visibility Culling on Complex Models

60

61

62

63

64

66

67

68

69

70

72

73

74

75

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

Abstract

We present an efficient technique to compute the potentially visi-2 ble set (PVS) of triangles in a complex 3D scene from a viewpoint. The algorithm computes a conservative PVS at object space accuracy. Our approach traces a high number of small, volumetric frusta and computes blockers for each frustum using simple intersection 6 tests. In practice, the algorithm can compute the PVS of CAD 7 8 and scanned models composed of millions of triangles at interactive rates on a multi-core PC. We also use the visibility algorithm to 9 accurately compute the reflection paths from a point sound source. 10 The resulting sound propagation algorithm is 10 - 20X faster than 11 prior accurate geometric acoustic methods. 12

13 1 Introduction

Visibility computation is a widely-studied problem in computer 14 graphics and related areas. Given a scene, the goal is to determine 15 the set of primitives visible from a single point (i.e. from-point vis-16 ibility), or from any point within a given region (i.e. from-region 17 visibility). At a broad level, these algorithms can be classified into 18 object space and image space algorithms. The object space algo-19 rithms operate at object-precision and use the raw primitives for 20 visibility computations. The image space algorithms resolve visi-21 bility based on a discretized representation of the objects and the 22 accuracy typically corresponds to the resolution of the final image. 23 These algorithms are able to exploit the capabilities of rasterization 24 hardware and can render large, complex scenes composed of tens 25 of millions of triangles at interactive rates using current GPUs. 26 In this paper, we primarily focus on from-point, object space con-27 servative visibility, whose goal is to compute a superset of visi-28 ble geometric primitives. Such algorithms are useful for walk-29 throughs, shadow generation, global illumination and occlusion 30

computations. Another application for object space visibility al-31 gorithms is accurate computation of reflection paths for acoustic 32 simulation or sound rendering. Given a point sound source, 3D 33 models of the environment with material data, and the receiver's 34 position, geometric acoustic (GA) methods perform multiple levels 35 of reflections from the obstacles in the scene to compute the im-36 pulse response (IR). Sample-based propagation algorithms, such as 37 stochastic ray-tracing for GA can result in statistical errors or in-38 accurate IRs [Funkhouser et al. 2003; Lenhert 1993]. As a result, 39 we need to use object space visibility techniques, such as beam 40 tracing [Funkhouser et al. 1998; Laine et al. 2009], to accurately 41 compute the propagation paths. However, current object space visi-42 bility algorithms work well on simple scenes with tens of thousands 43 of triangles or with large convex occluders. There is a general be-44 lief that it is hard to design fast and practical object space visibility 45

⁴⁶ algorithms for complex 3D models [Ghali 2001].

Main Results: We present a novel algorithm (FastV) for conser-47 vative, from-point visibility computation. Our approach is general 48 and computes a potentially visible set (PVS) of scene triangles from 49 a given view point. The main idea is to trace a high number of 4-50 sided volumetric frusta and compute efficiently simple connected 51 blockers within each frustum. We use the blockers to compute a 52 far plane and cull away the non-visible primitives, as described in 53 Section 3 54

⁵⁵ Our guiding principle is to opt for simplicity in the choice of dif-⁵⁶ ferent parts of the algorithm, including frustum tracing, frustum-⁵⁷ intersection tests, blocker and depth computations. The main con-⁵⁸ tribution of the paper is primarily in combining known algorithms ⁵⁹ (or their extensions) for these parts. Overall, FastV is the first prac-



Figure 1: Fast Acoustic Simulation: We used FastV for accurate computation of reflection paths in this Cathedral model with 76.2K triangles. Our propagation algorithm performs three orders of reflections from the source (S) and compute the IR at the receiver (R) in less than 10 seconds. To the best of our knowledge, ours is the first efficient and accurate propagation algorithm to handle models of this complexity.

tical method for visibility culling in complex 3D models due to the following reasons:

1. Generality: Our approach is applicable to all triangulated models and does not assume any large objects or occluders. The algorithm proceeds automatically and is not susceptible to degeneracies or robustness issues.

2. Efficiency: We present fast algorithms based on Plücker coordinates to perform the intersection tests. We use hierarchies along with SIMD and multi-core capabilities to accelerate the computations. In practice, our algorithm can trace 101 - 200K frusta per second on a single 2.93 Ghz Xeon Core on complex models with millions of triangles.

3. Conservative: Our algorithm computes a conservative superset of the visible triangles at object-precision. As the frustum size is decreased, the algorithm computes a tighter PVS. We have applied the algorithm to complex CAD and scanned models with millions of triangles and simple dynamic scenes. In practice, we can compute conservative PVS, which is within a factor of 5-25% of the exact visible set, in a fraction of a second on a 16-core PC (as described in Section 5).

Accurate Sound Propagation: We use our PVS computation algorithm to accurately compute the reflection paths from a point sound source to a receiver, as described in Section 4. We use a two phase algorithm that first computes image-sources for scene primitives in the PVS computed for primary (or secondary) sources. This is followed by finding valid reflection paths to compute actual contributions at the receiver. We have applied our algorithm to complex models with tens of thousands of triangles. In practice, we observe a performance improvement of up to 20X over prior accurate propagation methods that use beam tracing.

2 Previous Work

The problem of visibility has been extensively studied in computer graphics, computational geometry, acoustic simulation and related areas for more than four decades. We refer the readers to excellent recent surveys [Durand 1999; Cohen-Or et al. 2003]. Due to space limitations, we only give a brief overview of some object space and sampling-based methods.

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

171

172

173

174

175

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

190

191

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

202

203

204

Object space visibility computations: There is extensive work on 97 object-precision algorithms, including methods for hidden surface 98 removal [Ghali 2001] and exact visibility computation from a point qq using beam tracing [Heckbert and Hanrahan 1984: Funkhouser 100 et al. 1998; Overbeck et al. 2007] or Plücker coordinates [Niren-101 stein 2003]. Many exact algorithms have also been proposed for 102 region-based visibility [Durand 1999; Duguet and Drettakis 2002; 103 Nirenstein 2003; Bittner and Wonka 2005]. There is consider-104 able literature on conservative visibility computations from a single 105 viewpoint [Bittner et al. 1998; Coorg and Teller 1997; Hudson et al. 106 1997; Luebke and Georges 1995] or from a region [Koltun et al. 107 2000; Leyvand et al. 2003; Teller 1992]. Some of these algorithms 108 have been designed for special types of models, e.g. architectural 109 models represented as cells and portals, 2.5D urban models, scenes 110 with large convex occluders, etc. It is also possible to perform con-111 servative rasterization [Akenine-Möller and Aila 2005] on current 112 GPUs to compute an object-precision PVS from a point. 113

Image space or sample-based visibility computations: These 114 methods either make use of rasterization hardware or ray-shooting 115 techniques to compute a set of visible primitives [Cohen-Or et al. 116 2003]. Most of these methods tend to be either approximate or 117 aggressive [Nirenstein and Blake 2004; Wonka et al. 2006]. Cur-118 rent GPUs provide support for performing occlusion queries for 119 from-point visibility and are used for real-time display of complex 120 3D models on commodity GPUs [Klosowski and Silva 2000; Mat-121 tausch et al. 2008]. 122

3 FastV: Visibility Computation

In this section, we present our conservative visibility computation 124 algorithm. The inputs to our algorithm are: a view point ($\mathbf{v} \in \Re^3$), 125 126 a set of scene primitives (Π), and a viewing frustum (Φ), with an apex at v. Our goal is to compute a subset of primitives $\pi \subseteq \Pi$ 127 such that every primitive $p \in \Pi$, which is hit by some ray $r \in \Phi$ 128 is included in the computed subset π . The subset π is called the 129 potentially visible set (PVS). The smallest such PVS is the set of 130 exactly visible primitives (π_{exact}). The subset π computed by our 131 algorithm is conservative, i.e., $\pi \supseteq \pi_{exact}$. For the rest of the 132 paper, we assume that the primitives are triangles, though our algo-133 rithm can be modified to handle other primitives. We also assume 134 that the connectivity information between the scene triangles is pre-135 computed. We exploit this connectivity for efficient computation; 136 however our approach is also applicable to polygon soup models. In 137 138 order to perform fast intersection tests, we store the scene primitives in a bounding volume hierarchy (BVH) of axis-aligned bounding 139 boxes (AABBs). This hierarchy is updated for dynamic scenes. 140

141 3.1 Overview

We trace pyramidal or volumetric beams from the viewpoint. Prior 142 beam tracing algorithms perform expensive exact intersection and 143 clipping computations of the beam against the triangles and tend 144 to compute π_{exact} . Our goal is to avoid these expensive clipping 145 computations, and rather perform simple intersection tests to com-146 pute the PVS. Moreover, it is hard to combine two or more non-147 overlapping occluders (i.e. occluder fusion) using object space 148 techniques. This is shown in Figure 2, where object H_1 is occluded 149 by the combination of V_1 and V_2 . As a result, prior conservative 150 object space techniques are primarily limited to scenes with large 151 occluders. 152 We overcome these limitations by tracing a high number of rel-153

atively small frusta and computing the PVS of each frustum inde pendently. This makes it easy to parallelize our tracing algorithm on
multi-core processors. We present very fast and simple algorithms
to perform the intersection tests. In order to compute the PVS for
each frustum, we try to compute a *blocker* that is composed of con nected triangles (see Figure 3). The blockers are computed on the

160 fly and need not correspond to a convex set or a solid object; rather 205



Figure 2: Overview: We divide the view-frustum with an apex at \mathbf{v} , into many small frusta. Each frustum is traced through the scene and its far plane is updated when it is blocked by a connected blocker. For example, frustum F_5 is blocked by primitives of object V_2 but frustum F_1 has no blockers. The objects V_1 and V_2 are part of the PVS and they block frusta F_2 to F_5 .

they are objects that are homomorphism to a disk. Given a blocker for a frustum, we update the far plane associated with that frustum.

Frustum Tracing: We use a simple four-sided frustum, which is represented as a convex combination of four corner rays intersecting at the apex. Each frustum has a near plane, four side planes, and a far plane. The near plane and the four side planes of a frustum are fixed and the far plane is parallel to the near plane. The depth of the far plane from the view point is updated as the algorithm computes a new blocker for a frustum. Our algorithm sub-divides Φ into smaller frusta using uniform or adaptive subdivision and computes a PVS for each frustum. Eventually, we take the union of these different PVSs to compute a PVS for Φ .

Algorithm: The algorithm computes the PVS for each frustum independently. We initialize the far plane associated with the frustum to be at infinity and update its value if any connected blocker is found. The algorithm traverses the BVH to efficiently compute the triangles that potentially intersect with a given frustum. We perform fast Plücker intersection tests between the frustum and a triangle to determine if the frustum is completely inside, completely outside, or partially intersecting the triangle. If the frustum is partially intersecting, we reuse the Plücker test from the frustum-triangle intersection step to quickly find the edges that intersect the frustum (see Section 3.2). We perform frustum-triangle intersection with the neighboring triangles that are incident to these edges. We repeat this step of finding edges that intersect with the frustum and perform intersection tests with the triangles incident to the edge till the frustum is completely blocked by some set of triangles. If a blocker is found (see Section 3.3), we update the far plane depth of the frustum. Any triangles beyond the far plane of the frustum are discarded from the PVS. If there is no blocker associated with the frustum, then all the triangles overlapping with the frustum belong to the PVS.

3.2 Frustum Blocker Computation

We define a blocker for a frustum as a set of connected triangles such that every ray inside the frustum hits some triangle in the frustum blocker (see Figure 3(a)). When we intersect a frustum with a triangle, the frustum could partially intersect the triangle. In such a case, we walk to the neighboring triangles based on that intersection and try to find a blocker for the frustum (see Figure 3). We compute all the edges of the triangle that intersect with the frustum. For every intersecting edge, we walk to the neighboring triangle incident to the edge and perform the frustum-triangle intersection test with the neighbor triangle.

The intersection and walking steps are repeated until one of the following conditions is satisfied:



Figure 3: Frustum Blocker Computation: (a) Example of a blocker with connected triangles. (b)-(c) Intersection and Walking: Identify intersecting edges (e1, e2, e3, and e4) and walk to the adjacent triangles (denoted by arrows from edge to the triangle). (d) Abort frustum blocker computation if a free-edge or a silhouetteedge is found.

206	а	All triangles incident to every intersecting edge found during
207		the frustum blocker step have been processed. This implies
208		that we have found a blocker.

b A free-edge, i.e. an edge with only one incident triangle, or 209 a silhouette edge, i.e. an edge with incident triangle facing in 210 opposite directions as seen from the viewpoint, intersects with 211 the frustum. In this case, we conclude that the current set of 212 intersecting triangles does not constitute a blocker. 213

Note that our termination condition (b) for blocker computation is 214 conservative. It is possible that there may exist a frustum blocker 215 with a silhouette edge, but we need to perform additional compu-216 tations to identify such blockers [Navazo et al. 2003; Laine 2006]. 217 In this case, we opt for simplicity, and rather search for some other 218 blocker defined by a possibly different set of triangles. Or we sub-219 divide the frustum and the current object will become a blocker for 220 a smaller sub-frustum. 221

If we terminate the traversal test due to condition (a), we have 222 successfully found a frustum blocker. All triangles in the frustum 223 blocker are marked visible and the far plane depth associated with 224 the the frustum is updated. Note that the depth of the far plane of the 225 frustum is chosen such that all triangles in the frustum blocker lie in 226 front of the far plane. If we terminate due to condition (b), than the 227 algorithm can't guarantee the existence of a frustum blocker. All 228 triangles processed during step are still marked visible but the far 261 229 230 plane depth is not updated.

3.3 Frustum Intersection Tests 231

A key component of the algorithm is performing the intersection 232 tests of the scene primitives with a frustum. The algorithm traverses 233 the BVH and performs intersection tests between a frustum and the 234 AABBs associated with the BVH. We use the technique proposed 235 by Reshetov et al. [2005] to perform fast intersection tests between 236 a frustum and an AABB. For every leaf node of the hierarchy we 237 perform the intersection test with the frustum and triangle(s) associ-238 ated with that leaf node. In order to perform the intersection test ef-239 ficiently, we represent the corner rays of a frustum and the oriented 240 edges of the triangle using Plücker coordinates [Shoemake 1998]. 241 The orientation of a ray as seen along the edges of a triangle governs 242 the intersection status of the ray with the triangle (see Figure 4(a)). 243 Similarly, the orientation of four corner rays of the frustum as seen 244 along the edges of a triangle governs the intersection status of the 245 frustum with the triangle. We can determine with object-precision 246 accuracy whether the frustum lies completely inside the triangle, 247 248 completely outside the triangle, or partially intersects the triangle [Chandak et al. 2008]. 249

In practice, the Plücker test is conservative and it can wrongly clas-250 sify a frustum to be partially intersecting a triangle even if the frus-251 tum is completely outside the triangle (as shown in Figure 4(b). 252 This can affect the correctness of our algorithm as we may wrongly 286 253 classify an object as a blocker due to these conservative intersection 254 tests. We add a post-processing phase after each blocker computa-255

tion to identify such cases. 256



Figure 4: Conservative Plücker Tests: (a) All four corner rays of the frustum F_1 have the same orientation as seen along every directed edge of the triangle ABC. Thus, F_1 is completely-inside ABC. (b) Intersection between a frustum and a triangle can be conservative. F_2 will be classified as partially intersecting. (c) Different cases of frustum-edge intersections: F_1 does not intersect the edge AB, F_2 intersects AB. F_3 is falsely classified as intersecting AB by the test.



Figure 5: Updating Far Plane Depth: (a) Frustum lies completely inside triangle T_1 . The depth of the far plane is set to the maximum of d_1 and d_2 . (b) Triangles T_1 and T'_1 constitute the blocker. We compute the far plane depths of each triangle and use the maximum value.

Frustum-Edge Intersection: When a frustum partially intersects with a triangle, we can quickly determine which edges of the triangle intersect the frustum. We reuse the Plücker test between the frustum and the triangle to find the edges of the triangle that intersect the frustum. As shown in Figure 4(c), a frustum intersects with an edge if all four corner rays of the frustum do not have the same orientation as seen along an edge. This test may falsely classify an edge as intersecting even if the frustum does not intersect the edge, as shown in Figure 4(c) and thereby make our algorithm conservative. This test is also used in Section 3.3 to compute a set of triangles that may block the frustum completely.

Far Plane Depth Update: The far plane associated with a frustum is updated whenever a blocker is found. The blocker may correspond to a single triangle or multiple triangles. If a frustum lies completely inside a triangle, the triangle blocks the frustum. We, therefore, mark the triangle as visible and update the depth of the far plane of the frustum as shown in Figure 5(a). The frustum intersects the triangle at points h1 and h2, and d1 and d2 are the projected distances of $|V\mathbf{h_1}|$ and $|V\mathbf{h2}|$ along the near plane normal. We set the far plane depth of the frustum as the maximum of the projected distances. In other cases, the blocker may be composed of multiple triangles. We update the far plane depth of the frustum as shown in Figure 5(b). We compute the far plane depth for every triangle in the frustum blocker, assuming the frustum is completely inside the triangle. In Figure 5(b), d and d' are the far plane depths for triangles T_1 and T'_1 , respectively, of the frustum blocker. The far plane depth of the frustum is set to the maximum of far plane depths computed for the triangles in the frustum blocker, which is d' in this case.

3.4 Frustum Subdivision

Our algorithm implicitly assumes that the size of connected blockers is larger that the cross-section of the frusta. The simplest al-

257

258

260

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

287

gorithm subdivides a frustum in a uniform manner. This approach 289 is simpler to implement and also simpler to parallelize on current 290 multi-core and many-core architectures, in terms of load balanc-291 ing. However, many complex models (e.g. CAD models) have a 292 non-uniform distribution of primitives in 3D. In that case, it may 293 be more useful to perform adaptive subdivision of the frusta. In 294 that case, we use the AD-FRUSTUM representation [Chandak et al. 295 2008], which uses a quadtree data structure. We use the follow-296 ing criteria to perform subdivision. If the PVS associated with a 297 frustum is large, we recursively compute the PVS associated with 298 each sub-frustum. Whenever the algorithm only computes a par-299 tial blocker of connected triangles using the intersection tests, we 300 estimate its cross-section area and use that area to compute the sub-301 frusta. There are other known techniques to estimate the distri-302 bution of primitives [Wonka et al. 2006] and they can be used to 303 guide the subdivision. As compared to uniform subdivision, adap-304 tive techniques reduce the total number of frusta traced for PVS 305 computation. Moreover, we use spatial coherence to reduce the 306 number of intersection tests between the parent and child frusta. 307

*

308 4 Geometric Sound Propagation

In this section, we describe our sound propagation algorithm. Given 309 a point sound source, the CAD model of the scene with material 310 properties (i.e. the acoustic space), and the receiver position, the 311 goal is to compute the impulse response (IR) of the acoustic space. 312 Later the IRs are convolved with the audio signal to reproduce the 313 sound. We use our PVS computation algorithm described above for 314 fast image-source computation that only takes into account specular 315 316 reflections [Allen and Berkley 1979; Funkhouser et al. 2003; Laine et al. 2009]. In practice, this approach is only accurate for high 317 frequency sources. 318

Each image source radiates in free space and considers secondary 319 sources generated by mirroring the location of the input source over 320 each boundary element in the environment. For each secondary 321 source, the specular reflection path can be computed by performing 322 repeated intersections of a line segment from the source position 323 to the position of the receiver. In order to accurately compute all 324 propagation paths, the algorithm creates image-sources (secondary 325 sources) for every polygon in the scene. This step is repeated for 326 all the secondary sources up to some user specified (say k) orders 327 of reflection. Clearly, the number of image sources are $O(N^{k+1})$, 328 329 where N is the number of triangles in the scene. This can become expensive for complex models. 330

We use our PVS computation algorithm to accelerate the compu-331 332 tation for complex scenes. We use a two stage algorithm. In the first stage, we use our conservative visibility culling algorithm and 333 compute all the secondary image sources up to the specified orders 334 of reflection. Since we overestimate the set of visibility triangles, 335 we use the second stage to perform a validation step. For the first 336 stage, we use a variant of Laine et al.'s [2009] algorithm and only 337 compute the secondary image-sources for the triangles that are vis-338 ible from the source. Specifically, we shoot primary frusta from the 339 sound source. For every primary frustum we compute its PVS. We 340 then reflect the primary frustum against all visible triangles to cre-341 ate secondary frusta, which is similar to creating image-sources for 342 visible triangles. This step is repeated for secondary frusta upto k343 orders of reflection. In second stage, we construct paths from the 344 listener to the sound source for all the frusta which reach the lis-345 tener. As our approach is conservative, we have to ensure that this 346 path is a valid path. To validate the path, we intersect each segment 347 of the path with the scene geometry and if an intersection is found 348 the path is discarded. 349



Figure 6: Geometric sound propagation: Comparison: Given a sound source, S, and triangles T_a , T_b , T_c and T_d the image source method (see 6a) creates image-sources of S against all primitives in the scene. Beam tracing algorithms [Funkhouser et al. 1998] (see 6b) compute image-sources for only exactly visible triangles, T_b and T_c in this case. Accelerated beam tracing [Laine et al. 2009] approach computes image-sources for all triangles inside the beam volume (see 6c), i.e., T_b , T_c , T_d , and T_e in this case. Our algorithm (see 6d) computes image-sources for triangles T_b , T_c , and T_d in the PVS by our FastV algorithms.

5 Results

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

In this section, we present our results on from-point conservative visibility (Section 5.1) and accurate geometric sound propagation (Section 5.2). Our results were generated on a 16-core 64-bit Intel X7350@2.93 GHz. We used SSE instructions to accelerate frustum intersection tests and use OpenMP to parallelize on multiple cores.

5.1 Visibility Results

We demonstrate our results on computing from-point object space conservative PVS on a variety of models ranging from simple models (like soda hall, armadillo, blade) to complex models (like power plant and thai statue) to a dynamic model (flamenco animation). These models are shown in Figure 7. Our results are summarized in Table 1. We are not aware of any prior method that can compute the exact visible set on these complex models. Therefore, we compute an approximation to π_{exact} . by shooting frusta at $4K \times 4K$ resolution and compute the PVS for that resolution. The PVS-ratio refers to: (size of PVS) / (size of π_{exact}), and is a measure of how conservative is the answer. In all benchmarks, we are able to compute a conservative approximation to the PVS at interactive rates on the multi-core PC. The frame sequences used for generating average results are shown in accompanying video. Further, we show that our approach converges well to π_{exact} as we shoot higher number of frusta (see Figure 8). Detailed results on convergence for each model are provided in the Appendix.



Figure 7: Benchmarks: Left to right: (a) Armadillo (345K triangles). (b) Blade (1.8M triangles). (c) Thai Statue (10M triangles). (d) Soda Hall (1.5M triangles). (e) PowerPlant (12M triangles). (f) Flamenco (dynamic scene)

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

404

405

406

407

408

410

413

414

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

	Model		PVS	PVS	Time
Name	Tris	Туре	Ratio	Size	(ms)
Armadillo	345K	scan	1.16	98K	59
Blade	1.8M	scan	1.05	190K	179
Thai	10M	scan	1.06	210K	132
SodaHall	1.5M	cad	1.22	2.1K	30
PowerPlant	12M	cad	1.25	15K	259
Flamenco	40K	dynamic	1.11	7K	31

Table 1: Main Results: Our results on from-point conservative visibility for models of varying complexities. All the timing were computed on a 16-core 64-bit Intel X7350@2.93 GHz. The algorithm first performs view frustum culling and uses FastV only for occlusion culling. The PVS ratio provides a measure of how conservative is the computed answer with respect to occlusion culling.

5.2 Geometric Sound Propagation Results

We present our results on accurate geometric sound propagation in 375 section. Table 2 summarizes our results. We perform geometric 376 sound propagation on models of varying complexity from 438 tri-377 angles to 212K triangles. We used three benchmarks presented in 378 accelerated beam tracing (ABT) algorithm [Laine et al. 2009]. We 379 also used two additional complex benchmarks with 78K and 212K 380 triangles. We are not aware of any implementation of accurate geo-381 metric propagation that can handle models of such complexity. 382

Model	Tris	Time	Speed Up
		(msec)	(ABT)
Simple Room	438	10	10.1
Regular Room	1190	58	22.2
Complex Room	5635	406	11.8
Sibenik	78.2K	4500	-
Trade Show	212K	13600	-

Table 2: Accurate sound propagation: We highlight the performance of sound propagation algorithms on four benchmarks. We observe 10 - 20 speedup on the simple model.

383 6 Comparison and Analysis

In this section we analyze our algorithm and compare it with prior
techniques. The accuracy of our algorithm is governed by the accuracy of the intersection tests, which exploit the IEEE floating-point
hardware. Our approach is robust and general, and not prone to any
degeneracies.

Conservative approach: We compute a conservative PVS for every frustum. This follows from our basic approach to compute the blockers and far planes for each frustum. In practice, our approach can be overly conservative in some cases. The underlying blocker



Figure 8: *PVS ratio vs. #Frusta: As the number of frusta increase, the PVS computed by our answer converges to* π_{exact} *. This graph shows the rate of convergence for different benchmarks. The CAD models have a higher ratio as compared to scanned models.*

computation algorithm is conservative. Moreover, we don't consider the case when the union of two or more objects can serve as a blocker. This is shown in Fig. 2) with two disjoint occluders, V_1 and V_2 . Instead of using more sophisticated algorithms for blocker computation, we found it cheaper to subdivide the frustum into subfrusta and compute blockers for them. As a result, we can make our approach less conservative by using more frusta and the PVS (π) converges well to π_{exact} (see Figure 8).

Model connectivity and triangle soup models: Our algorithm exploits the connectivity information in the model to compute the blockers, which are formed using connected triangles. If the connectivity information is not available, then the algorithm would subdivide the frustum such that each blocker would consist of only one triangle.

6.1 Visibility Computations

Our approach performs volumetric tracing, which is similar to beam tracing. However, we don't perform exact clipping operations to compute an exact representation of the visible surface. Rather we only estimate the triangles belonging to the PVS by identifying the blockers for each frustum. None of the triangles in the scene are subdivided. Beam tracing algorithms can also be accelerated by using spatial data structures [Funkhouser et al. 1998; Overbeck et al. 2007; Laine et al. 2009], but they have mostly been applied to scenes with large occluders (e.g. architectural models). In practice, beam tracing can be considerably more expensive than our approach. On the other hand, the PVS computed by our algorithm tends to be more conservative than that computed by beam tracing.

Most of the prior object space conservative visibility culling algorithms are designed for scenes with large occluders [Bittner et al. 1998; Coorg and Teller 1997; Hudson et al. 1997; Luebke and Georges 1995]. These algorithms can work well on special types of models, e.g. architectural models represented using cells and portals or urban scenes. In contrast, our approach is mainly designed for general 3D models and doesn't make any assumption about large occluders.

It is possible to perform conservative rasterization using current GPUs [Akenine-Möller and Aila 2005]. However, it has the overhead of rendering additional triangles and CPU-GPU communication latency. It may be possible to accelerate conservative rasterization by using hierarchical methods [Mattausch et al. 2008]. The resulting approach could be faster than FastV in some cases, but may compute a more conservative PVS. This could result in a slower sound propagation algorithm.

It is hard to make a direct comparison with image space approaches because of their accuracy. In practice, image space approaches can exploit the rasterization hardware or fast ray-tracing techniques [Reshetov et al. 2005] and would be faster than FastV. Moreover, image space approaches also perform occluder fusion and in some cases may compute a smaller set of visible primitives than FastV. However, the main issue with the image space approaches is deriving any tight bounds on the accuracy of the result. This is highlighted in the appendix, where we used ray tracing to approximate the visible primitives. In complex models like the powerplant, we need a sampling resolution of at least $32K \times 32K$ to compute a good approximation of the visible primitives. At lower resolutions, the visible set computed by the algorithm doesn't seem to converge well.

6.2 Sound Propagation Algorithm

Most accurate geometric acoustic methods can be described as variants of the image-source method. Figure 6 compares different accurate geometric sound propagation methods. The main difference between these methods is in terms of which image-sources they choose to compute [Funkhouser et al. 1998; Laine et al. 2009;

539

541

5/2

543

544

545

546

547

548

550 551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

568

569

570

571

572

573

574 575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

Schröder and Lentz 2006; Antonacci et al. 2008]. A naïve image 518 456 source method computes image sources against all triangles in the 519 457 scene for a sound source (Figure 6(a)) [Allen and Berkley 1979]. 520 458 Beam tracing methods compute the image-sources for exactly visi-459 521 ble triangles from a source (Figure 6(b)) and this method is applied 522 460 recursively. Recent methods based on beam tracing, like acceler-523 461 ated beam tracing [Laine et al. 2009], compute image-sources for 524 462 every triangle inside the beam volume (Figure 6(c)). Our approach, 525 463 shown in Figure 6(d), finds the conservative PVS from a source and 526 464 compute image-sources for the triangles in the conservative PVS. 465 527 As a result, for a given model our approach considers more image-528 466 sources as compared to exact beam tracing. It is an efficient com-529 467 promise between the expensive step to compute exactly visible tri-468 530 angles in beam tracing vs. computing additional image-sources in 531 469 accelerated beam tracing. We observe 10-20X speedups over prior 470 532 accurate methods. Recently, Chandak et al. [2008] also used adap-471 533 tive frustum tracing for geometric sound propagation. However, 472 534 that algorithm performs discrete clipping and intersection tests at 473 535 the boundary of the frustum and therefore, it is hard to derive any 536 474 good bounds on the accuracy of impulse responses. 475 537

6.3 Limitations 476

Our approach has some limitations. Since we don't perform oc-477 cluder fusion, the PVS computed by our algorithm can be overly 478 conservative sometimes. If the scene has no big occluders, we may 479 need to trace a large number of frusta. Our intersection tests are 480 fast, but the conservative nature of the blocker computation can re-481 sult in a larger PVS. The model and its hierarchy are stored in main 482 memory, and therefore our approach is limited to in-core models. 483 Our algorithm is easy to parallelize and works quite well, but is 484 still slower than image space approaches that perform coherent ray 485 549 tracing or use GPU rasterization capabilities. 486

Conclusions and Future Work 7 487

We present a fast and simple visibility culling algorithm and 488 demonstrate its performance on complex models. The algorithm is 489 general and works well on complex 3D models. To the best of our 490 knowledge, this is the first from-point object space visibility algo-491 rithm that can handle complex 3D models with millions of triangles 492 at almost interactive rates. 493

There are many avenues for future work. We will like to implement 494 the algorithm on a many-core GPU or upcoming Larrabee proces-495 sor to further exploit the high parallel performance of these com-496 modity processors. This could provide capability to design more 497 accurate rendering algorithms based on object-precision visibility 498 computations on complex models (e.g. shadow generation). We 499 can use temporal coherence between successive frames along with 500 adaptive subdivision to further improve the runtime performance. 501 We will also like to evaluate the trade-offs in terms of using more 502 sophisticated blocker computations algorithms [Navazo et al. 2003; 503 Laine 2006]. In terms of sound propagation, our approach can be 504 extended to compute edge diffraction based on uniform theory of 505 diffraction (UTD). 506

References 507

- AKENINE-MÖLLER, T., AND AILA, T. 2005. Conservative and tiled rasterization 508 509 using a modified triangle set-up. journal of graphics tools 10, 3, 1-8.
- ALLEN, J. B., AND BERKLEY, D. A. 1979. Image method for efficiently simulating 510 small-room acoustics. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 65, 4 511 (April), 943-950. 512
- ANTONACCI, F., FOCO, M., SARTI, A., AND TUBARO, S. 2008. Fast tracing of 513 acoustic beams and paths through visibility lookup. Audio, Speech, and Language 514 515 Processing, IEEE Transactions on 16, 4 (May), 812-824.
- BITTNER, J., AND WONKA, P. 2005. Fast exact from-region visibility in urban scenes. 583 516 584 517 Eurographics Symposium on Rendering, 223–230

- BITTNER, J., HAVRAN, V., AND SLAVIK, P. 1998. Hierarchical visibility culling with occlusion trees. Computer Graphics International, 1998. Proceedings (Jun), 207-219
- CHANDAK, A., LAUTERBACH, C., TAYLOR, M., REN, Z., AND MANOCHA, D. 2008. Ad-frustum: Adaptive frustum tracing for interactive sound propagation. In Proc. IEEE Visualization.
- COHEN-OR, D., CHRYSANTHOU, Y., SILVA, C., AND DURAND, F. 2003. A survey of visibility for walkthrough applications. Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on 9, 3 (July-Sept.), 412-431.
- COORG, S., AND TELLER, S. 1997. Real-time occlusion culling for models with large occluders. In SI3D '97: Proceedings of the 1997 symposium on Interactive 3D graphics, ACM, New York, NY, USA, 83-ff.
- DUGUET, F., AND DRETTAKIS, G. 2002. Robust epsilon visibility. Proc. of ACM SIGGRAPH, 567-575.
- DURAND, F. 1999. 3D Visibility, Analysis and Applications. PhD thesis, U. Joseph Fourier.
- FUNKHOUSER, T., CARLBOM, I., ELKO, G., PINGALI, G., SONDHI, M., AND WEST, J. 1998. A beam tracing approach to acoustic modeling for interactive virtual environments. In Proc. of ACM SIGGRAPH, 21-32.
- FUNKHOUSER, T., TSINGOS, N., AND JOT, J.-M. 2003. Survey of Methods for Modeling Sound Propagation in Interactive Virtual Environment Systems. Presence and Teleoperation.
- GHALI, S. 2001. A survey of practical object space visibility algorithms. In SIG-GRAPH Tutorial Notes
- HECKBERT, P. S., AND HANRAHAN, P. 1984. Beam tracing polygonal objects. In Proc. of ACM SIGGRAPH, 119-127.
- HUDSON, T., MANOCHA, D., COHEN, J., LIN, M., HOFF, K., AND ZHANG, H. 1997. Accelerated occlusion culling using shadow frusta. In Proc. of ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry, 1-10.
- KLOSOWSKI, J., AND SILVA, C. 2000. The prioritized-layered projection algorithm for visible set estimation. IEEE Trans. on Visualization and Computer Graphics 6, 2.108 - 123
- KOLTUN, V., CHRYSANTHOU, Y., AND COHEN-OR, D. 2000. Virtual occluders: An efficient intermediate pvs representation. In Europaraphics Workshop on Rendering, 59-70.
- LAINE, S., SILTANEN, S., LOKKI, T., AND SAVIOJA, L. 2009. Accelerated beam tracing algorithm. Applied Acoustic 70, 1, 172-181.
- LAINE, S. 2006. An Incremental Shaft Subdivision Algorithm for Computing Shadows and Visibility. Master's thesis, Helsinki University of Technology.
- LENHERT, H. 1993. Systematic errors of the ray-tracing algoirthm. Applied Acoustics .38.
- LEYVAND, T., SORKINE, O., , AND COHEN-OR, D. 2003. Ray space factorization for from-region visibility. Proc. of ACM SIGGRAPH, 595-604.
- LUEBKE, D., AND GEORGES, C. 1995. Portals and mirrors: Simple, fast evaluation of potentially visible sets. In ACM Interactive 3D Graphics Conference.
- MATTAUSCH, O., BITTNER, J., AND WIMMER, M. 2008. Chc++: Coherent hierarchical culling revisted. Proc. of Eurographics, 221-230.
- NAVAZO, I., ROSSIGNAC, J., JOU, J., AND SHARIF, R. 2003. Shieldtester: Cell-tocell visibility test for surface occluderis. In Proc. of Eurographics, 291-302.
- NIRENSTEIN, S., AND BLAKE, E. 2004. Hardware accelerated visibility preprocessing using adaptive sampling. In Eurographics Workshop on Rendering.
- NIRENSTEIN, S. 2003. Fast and Accurate Visibility Preprocessing. PhD thesis, University of Cape Town, South Africa
- OVERBECK, R., RAMAMOORTHI, R., AND MARK, W. R. 2007. A Real-time Beam Tracer with Application to Exact Soft Shadows. In Eurographics Symposium on Rendering, 85-98.
- RESHETOV, A., SOUPIKOV, A., AND HURLEY, J. 2005. Multi-level ray tracing algorithm. ACM Trans. Graph. 24, 3, 1176-1185.
- SCHRÖDER, D., AND LENTZ, T. 2006. Real-Time Processing of Image Sources Using Binary Space Partitioning. Journal of the Audio Engineering Society (JAES) 54, 7/8 (July), 604-619.
- SHOEMAKE, K. 1998. Plücker coordinate tutorial. Ray Tracing News 11, 1.
- TELLER, S. J. 1992. Visibility Computations in Densely Occluded Polyheral Environments. PhD thesis, CS Division, UC Berkeley,
- WONKA, P., WIMMER, M., ZHOU, K., MAIERHOFER, S., HESINA, G., AND RESHETOV, A. 2006. Guided visibility sampling. Proc. of ACM SIGGRAPH, 494-502.